Case4:10-cv-03856-PJH Document185 Filed01/23/12 Page1 of 4

1 2 3	SHEA LAW OFFICES Mary Shea Hagebols (SBN 113222) shealaw@ac 1814 Franklin Street, Suite 800 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510-208-4422 Facsimile: 415-520-9407	ol.com	
4 5 6 7 8 9	VAN DE POEL, LEVY & ALLEN LLP Jeffrey W. Allen (SBN 099240) jallen@vanlevyl Nina Paul (SBN 249954) npaul@vanlevylaw.com 1600 South Main Plaza, Suite 325 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: 925-934-6102 Facsimile: 925-934-6060 Attorneys for Plaintiff JANET HALEY		
10 11 12 13	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Francis J. Ortman III (SBN 213202) fortman@se Matthew J. Mason (SBN 271344) mmason@seyf 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 397-2823 Facsimile: (415) 397-8549		
14 15 16 17 18 19	Attorneys for Defendant COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP Catherine A. Conway (SBN 98366) cconway@akingump.com 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 229-1000 Facsimile: (310) 229-1001 Attorneys for Defendant DAVID EDLIN		
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND		
24 25 26 27	JANET HALEY, Plaintiff, v. COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., A New York	Case No. C10-03856-PJH STIPULATION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR THE PARTIES TO FILE OPPOSITION AND REPLY BRIEFS FOR PLAINTIFF JANET HALEY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE OF COURT TO TAKE ADDITIONAL DEPOSITION	
28	Corporation Doing Business in California; and	TESTIMONY FROM TERRANCE	
	·		

1	DAVID EDLIN, an individual, OBER AND TO TAKE THE DEPOSITIONS OF MARTIN COHEN,		
2	Defendants.) ROBERT STEERS, FRANK POLI AND) SALVATORE RAPPA		
3			
4			
5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and among Plaintiff JANET HALEY ("Plaintiff"),		
6	Defendant COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. ("Cohen & Steers") and		
7	Defendant DAVID EDLIN ("Edlin") (collectively the "Parties"), through their respective		
8	undersigned counsel, as follows:		
9	WHEREAS, the Parties have, given the recent non-expert discovery cutoff, engaged in		
10	extensive motion practice in the preceding weeks, including (1) Plaintiff Janet Haley's Motion		
11	for Leave of Court to Take Additional Deposition Testimony from Terrance Ober and to Take		
12	the Depositions of Martin Cohen, Robert Steers, Frank Poli, and Salvatore Rappa ("Motion for		
13	Leave") (filed January 10, 2012, Dkt. No. 141); (2) Plaintiff Janet Haley's Motion for		
14	Administrative Relief for Extension of the Non-Expert Discovery Cutoff for the Limited Purpos		
15	of Completing the Deposition of Terrance Ober and Taking the Depositions of Martin Cohen,		
16	Robert Steers, Frank Poli, and Salvatore Rappa (and associated Oppositions) (Dkt. Nos. 145,		
17	148, 149); (3) Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal (Dkt. No. 150); (4)		
18	Defendants' Motion to Compel Authorization for the Release of Plaintiff's EDD Records (to be		
19	filed on January 20, 2012); (5) Defendants' Motion for Evidentiary and Monetary Sanctions with		
20	Respect to Untimely Rule 26 Disclosures (to be filed on January 20, 2012); and (6) Plaintiff		
21	Janet Haley's Motion to Compel Responses to Requests for Production and Physical Production		
22	of David Edlin's Cellular Telephone (to be filed on January 20, 2012).		
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's deadline to file her Opposition to Defendant David Edlin's		
24	Motion for Summary Judgment was January 18, 2012 and Edlin's deadline to file his Reply to		
25	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant David Edlin's Motion for Summary Judgment is January 25		
26	2012;		
27	WHEREAS, on Thursday, January 19, 2012, the Court entered a Clerk's Notice resetting		
28	the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave from February 14, 2012 to March 20, 2012;		

Case4:10-cv-03856-PJH Document185 Filed01/23/12 Page3 of 4

1	WHEREAS, the Parties are in agreement that all Parties have spent and will continue to		
2	spend significant time on the above-mentioned motions and that no party will be prejudiced by		
3	an enlargement of time for Defendants to file any Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave or		
4	for Plaintiff to File any Reply to Defendants' Opposition;		
5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the Parties, through their		
6	respective undersigned counsel, that the filing deadlines for Defendants' Opposition and		
7	Plaintiff's Reply shall be extended by two weeks, meaning Defendants' Opposition must be filed		
8	on or before Tuesday, February 7, 2012, and Plaintiff's Reply must be filed on or before		
9	Tuesday, February 14, 2012.		
10			
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
12	DATED: January 20, 2012	SHEA LAW OFFICES	
13		By: /s/ Mary Shea Hagebols Mary Shea Hagebols	
14		Attorney for Plaintiff JANET HALEY	
15	DATED: January 20, 2012	VAN DE POEL, LEVY & ALLEN LLP	
16	DATED. January 20, 2012	VAN DE TOEL, LEVT & ALLEN ELI	
17		By: /s/ Jeffrey W. Allen Jeffrey W. Allen	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff JANET HALEY	
19	DATED: January 20, 2012	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	
20	571115. January 20, 2012	SETTIMETH SHEW EEF	
21		By: /s/ Matthew J. Mason Matthew J. Mason	
22		Attorneys for Defendant COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL	
23		MANAGEMENT, INC.	
24	DATED: January 20, 2012	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
25			
26		By:/s/ Damien P. Delaney Damien P. Delaney	
27		Attorney for Defendant DAVID EDLIN	
28			
		3	

Case4:10-cv-03856-PJH Document185 Filed01/23/12 Page4 of 4

OPOSED| ORDER The parties having so stipulated, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The filing deadlines for Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff Janet Haley's Motion for Leave of Court to Take Additional Deposition Testimony from Terrance Ober and to Take the Depositions of Martin Cohen, Robert Steers, Frank Poli, and Salvatore Rappa and Plaintiff's Reply shall be extended by two weeks, meaning Defendants' Opposition must be filed on or before Tuesday, February 7, 2012, and Plaintiff's Reply must be filed on or before Tuesday, February 14, 2012. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: January 23, 2012

14114191v.1 / 73229-000002